1 Clifford Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Laralei Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone:(510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 JOHN MOORE, Case No. 112CV237078 13 Plaintiff, 14 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF v. 15 PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND BENSON MILLS, INC.; BENSON SALES **CONSENT JUDGMENT** 16 CO., INC.; KOHL'S CORPORATION; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, 17 September 19, 2013 Date: 9:00 a.m. Time: 18 Defendants. Dept. Judge: Hon. Mark H. Pierce 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

| 1 | Plaintiff, John Moore, and defendants, Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc., and | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Kohl's Corporation, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered | | |
| 3 | pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and | | |
| 4 | following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and | | |
| 5 | Consent Judgment, | | |
| 6 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and | | |
| 7 | Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in | | |
| 8 | accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1 . By stipulation | | |
| 9 | of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil | | |
| 0 | Procedure § 664.6. | | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | Dated: SEP 1 9 2013 Mark H. Pierce JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | | |
| 14 | JUDGE OF THE SOI ERIOR COURT | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| ۱7 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

EXHIBIT 1

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Clifford Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Laralei Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE Paul S. Rosenlund, State Bar No. 87660 | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| 8 | Michael L. Reitzell, State Bar No. 215272 | | |
| 9 | DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Plaza | | |
| 10 | Spear Tower, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127 | * | |
| 11 | Telephone: (415) 957-3000 Facsimile: (415) 520-5479 | | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| 13 | BENSON MILLS, INC., BENSON SALES CO., INC. and KOHL'S CORPORATION | | |
| 14 | SUBERIOR COURT OF | THE STATE OF CALLEODALLA | |
| 15 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 16 | COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA | | |
| 17 | UNLIMITED | CIVIL JURISDICTION | |
| 18 | JOHN MOORE, |) Case No. 112CV237078 | |
| 19 | Plaintiff, |) | |
| 20 | v. |) [PROPOSED]) CONSENT JUDGMENT | |
| 21 | BENSON MILLS, INC.; BENSON SALES | { | |
| 22 | CO., INC.; KOHL'S CORPORATION; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, | } | |
| 23 | Defendants. |) | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | CONSENT JUDGMENT | | |

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 John Moore, Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc. and Kohl's Corporation

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff John Moore ("Moore"), Benson Mills, Inc. and Benson Sales Co., Inc. (collectively, "Benson"), and Kohl's Corporation ("Kohl's"), with Benson and Kohl's collectively referred to as the "Defendants," and Moore and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 **John Moore**

Moore is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc. and Kohl's Corporation

Defendants each employ ten or more persons and are each a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Defendants are alleged to have manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold table linens that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings.

DEHP is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Notices of Violation

On November 17, 2011, Moore served Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc. and Kohl's Corporation, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on Defendants' alleged failure to warn consumers that certain Covered Products exposed users in California to DEHP.

On or about July 5, 2013, Moore served Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc., Kohl's Corporation, Amazon.com, Inc., Sears Holdings Corporation, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Supplemental Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety

Code § 25249.6 based on Defendants' alleged failure to warn consumers that additional Covered Products exposed users in California to DEHP.

The Notice and Supplemental Notice are referred to herein as the "Notices." To the best of the parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notices.

1.6 Complaint

On December 3, 2012, Moore filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Santa Clara against Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc., Kohl's Corporation, and Does 1 through 150, *Moore v. Benson, et al.*, Case No. 112CV237078, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain table linens sold by Defendants.

On March 28, 2013, Moore filed a first amended complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Santa Clara against Benson Mills, Inc., Benson Sales Co., Inc., Kohl's Corporation, and Does 1 through 150, *Moore v. Benson, et al.*, Case No. 112CV237078 (the "Complaint"), alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain table linens manufactured by Benson.

As of the Effective Date, the Complaint shall be deemed amended to incorporate the Supplemental Notice, and the definition of the term Products in the Complaint shall be deemed amended to include all Covered Products as defined in this Consent Judgment that are manufactured, imported, distributed and/or offered by Defendants for sale in the State of California as set forth in the Notices, so long as no public enforcer has commenced prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Supplemental Notice.

1.7 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Moore's Notices and Complaint and maintain that all products that they have sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed for sale in California, including Covered Products as defined in this Consent Judgment, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by

Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 Covered Products

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall mean as follows:

- 2.1.1 As to Kohl's, "Covered Products" shall mean vinyl placemats that bear a brand or trademark owned or licensed by Kohl's or affiliated entity that are sold or offered for sale by Kohl's in the State of California. As used in this Consent Judgment "affiliated entity" means an entity who directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with Kohl's or Benson.
- 2.1.2 As to Benson, "Covered Products" shall mean placemats and other table linens, including, but not limited to tablecloths and table pads, such as *APT. 9 Placemat*, #34821, #111 90 94 (#7 21862 34821 4), Clear Easy Care Vinyl Tablecloth, Style 126 (#0 21862 12684 4), and Heavyweight Deluxe Vinyl Table Pad, #00448 (#7 21862 52121 1), manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Benson that are sold or offered for sale in the State of California.
- 2.1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Exemplar Product" shall mean the APT. 9 Placemat, #34821, #111 90 94 (#7 21862 34821 4).

2.2 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

///

2.3 Reformulated Products

Reformulated Products are defined as Covered Products containing DEHP in concentrations not to exceed 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) in each Accessible Component when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology selected by Benson and/or Kohl's that is utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance suitable for the material being tested. "Accessible component" as used in this Consent Judgment means a component of a Covered Product that can be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

3.1 Reformulation Commitment

Benson and Kohl's have implemented processes to comply with the reformulation standards recited in this section as to Covered Products they purchase for sale, manufacture for sale and distribute for sale in California. Benson and Kohl's affirm their commitment in that regard as stated in this section.

3.1.1 Benson

As of the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or acquired for sale in the State of California by Benson shall be Reformulated Products.

3.1.2 Kohl's

- (a) Commencing on the Effective Date, Kohl's shall only sell or offer for sale in California Exemplar Products that are Reformulated Products.
- (b) Commencing on July 31, 2014, and continuing thereafter, Kohl's shall only manufacture or cause to be manufactured for sale in California Covered Products that are Reformulated Products.

25 ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

4. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay a total of \$60,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to John Moore, as follows:

4.1 Initial Civil Penalty

///

Benson shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$15,000 within five days of the Effective Date. Kohl's shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000 within five days of the Effective Date. Benson and Kohl's shall each issue two separate checks to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$11,250 and \$3,750 respectively; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for John Moore" in the amount of \$3,750 and \$1,250 respectively. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below.

4.2 Final Civil Penalty

Benson and Kohl's shall each pay a final civil penalty of \$20,000 on or before July 31, 2014. Benson's final civil penalty shall be waived, however, if, no later than July 15, 2014, an officer of Benson provides Moore with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Benson has met the reformulation standard specified for Benson in Section 3.1 above, such that all Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or acquired for sale in State of California by Benson are Reformulated Products. Kohl's final civil penalty shall be waived, if, no later than July 15, 2014, an officer of Kohl's provides Moore with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Kohl's has met the reformulation standard specified for Kohl's in Section 3.1 above, such that all Covered Products that Kohl's has manufactured or caused to be manufactured for sale in State of California are Reformulated Products. The certifications in lieu of final civil penalty payments pursuant to this Section are material terms, and time is of the essence. Any final civil penalty not waived pursuant to this subsection shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code

| | , | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 1 | § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the | | |
| 2 | penalty remitted to Moore. | | |
| 3 | 4.3 Payment Procedures | | |
| 4 | 4.3.1 Issuance of Payments. Payments shall be delivered as follows: | | |
| 5 | (a) All payments owed to Moore, pursuant to Sections 4.1 through 4.2, | | |
| 6 | shall be delivered to the following payment address: | | |
| 7 | The Chanler Group | | |
| 8 | Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street | | |
| 9 | Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 | | |
| 10 | (b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to | | |
| 11 | Sections 4.1 through 4.2, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 | | |
| 12 | Penalties") at the following addresses: | | |
| 13 | For United States Postal Service Delivery: | | |
| 14 | Mike Gyrics | | |
| 15 | Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment | | |
| 16 | P.O. Box 4010 | | |
| 17 | Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 | | |
| 18 | For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: | | |
| 19 | Mike Gyrics | | |
| 20 | Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment | | |
| 21 | 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 | | |
| 22 | With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler Group at the address set forth | | |
| 23 | above in 4.3.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | 4.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After each penalty payment, Benson and Kohl's | | |
| 26 | shall each issue separate 1099 forms for each payment to (a) Moore, whose address and tax | | |
| | identification number shall be furnished upon request after the Effective Date, and (b) | | |
| 27 | OEHHA, who shall be identified as "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard | | |
| 28 | | | |

Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486) in the 1099 form, to be delivered directly to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814.

5. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Defendants shall pay \$90,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. Defendants shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522), shall make the check payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall deliver payment within five days of the Effective Date, to the address listed in Section 4.3.1(a) above.

6. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

6.1 Moore's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moore acting on his own behalf and in the public interest releases Defendants, all companies and persons named in the Notice and Supplemental Notice, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, licensors, licensees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, and all of their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys ("Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products, as set forth in the Notices, manufactured, acquired for distribution, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the

28 ///

Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in Covered Products, as set forth in the Notices, manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Defendants.

6.2 Moore's Individual Release of Claims

Moore also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants before the Effective Date.

6.3 Defendants' Release of Moore

Defendants on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Moore, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to Covered Products.

6.4 No Other Known Claims or Violations

Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Benson or for which Benson bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment, and as to Kohl's that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 relative to Covered Products by Kohl's or for which Kohl's bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment. This Paragraph does not, however, provide a release for any unknown, actual or alleged violations involving other substances and product categories, if any, nor does it limit the scope of the release provided by Paragraphs 6.1 and 6.2 for Covered Products.

///

7. COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 By this Consent Judgment and upon its approval by the Court, the Parties waive their right to trial on the merits, and waive rights to seek appellate review of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 7.2 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall file. Defendants shall support the entry of this Consent Judgment. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Any Party may, by motion, application for an order to show cause before the Santa Clara Superior Court, or any other appropriate action, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. A Party may file such a motion, action or application only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner for a period of no less than 30 days.

9. GOVERNING LAW

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the

28 ///

Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to Moore of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control law.

- 9.2 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter set forth in this Consent Judgment, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are deemed merged. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 9.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

10. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Benson Mills:

To Moore:

Gabriel Levy, Chief Executive Officer Benson Mills, Inc. 140 58th Street, Building A, Unit 7J Brooklyn, NY 11220

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

To Benson Sales:

Gabriel Levy, Chief Executive Officer Benson Sales Co., Inc. 6813 20th Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11220

With a copy on behalf of Benson to:

Paul S. Rosenlund, Esq. Duane Morris LLP One Market Plaza Spear Tower, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127

To Kohl's Corporation:

Meredith Wilkerson Legal Counsel, Litigation Kohl's Corporation N 56 17000 Ridgewood Drive Menomonee Falls, WI 53051

With a copy on behalf of Kohl's to:

Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq. Norton Rose Fulbright 555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature,

each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Moore and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

14. AUTHORIZATION

AGREED TO:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

| | AGREED TO: |
|--|--|
| Date: July 25, 2013 | Date: |
| By: flh_ Cofu Plaintiff, John Moore | By:Gabriel Levy, Chief Executive Officer Defendant, Benson Mills, Inc. |
| | AGREED TO: |
| | Date: |
| | By: |

each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original. 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) Moore and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). 13. MODIFICATION 6 This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion 8 () of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. **AUTHORIZATION** 10 14. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their lĭ respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 12 13 Consent Judgment. 14 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 15 Date: 16 17 Plaintiff, John Moore Gabriel Levy, Chief Executive Officer 18 (Defendant, Benson Mills, Inc. 19 AGREED TO: 20 21 22 Gabriel Levy, Chief Executive Officer 23 Defendant, Benson Sales Co., Inc. 24 25 26 27 28

1

3

3

.1

5

7

AGREED TO: 7/26/2013 Defendant, Kohl's Corporation